arXiv:1612.06467v1 [math.CA] 20 Dec 2016

CONVOLUTION OPERATORS WITH SINGULAR MEASURES OF FRACTIONAL TYPE ON THE HEISENBERG GROUP

TOMÁS GODOY AND PABLO ROCHA

ABSTRACT. We consider the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Let μ_γ be the fractional Borel measure on $\mathbb{H}^n$ defined by

$$\mu_\gamma(E) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \chi_E\left(w, \varphi(w)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j\left(|w_j|^2\right) |w_j|^{-\frac{\gamma}{n}} dw,$$

where $0 < \gamma < 2n$, $\varphi(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j |w_j|^2$, $w = (w_1, ..., w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\eta_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. In this paper we study the set of pairs (p, q) such that the right convolution operator with μ_γ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be the Heisenberg group with group law $(z,t) \cdot (w,s) = (z + w, t + s + \langle z, w\rangle)$ where $\langle z, w\rangle = \frac{1}{2} Im(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \cdot \overline{w_j})$. For $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we write $x = (x', x'')$ with $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^n$. So, $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{C}^n$ via the map $\Psi(x', x'') = x' + ix''$. In this setting the form $\langle z, w\rangle$ agrees with the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Thus $\mathbb{H}^n$ can be viewed as $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the group law

$$(x,t) \cdot (y,s) = \left(x + y, t + s + \frac{1}{2} B(x,y)\right)$$

where the symplectic form B is given by $B(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{n+j} x_j - y_j x_{n+j})$, with $x = (x_1, ..., x_{2n})$ and $y = (y_1, ..., y_{2n})$, with neutral element $(0,0)$, and with inverse $(x,t)^{-1} = (-x, -t)$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function, and let μ_γ be the fractional Borel measure on $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$ supported on the graph of φ, given by

$$\langle \mu_\gamma, f\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f\left(w, \varphi\left(w\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j\left(|w_j|^2\right) |w_j|^{-\frac{\gamma}{n}} dw \tag{1}$$

with $0 < \gamma < 2n$, and where the η_j's are functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \le \eta_j \le 1$, $\eta_j(t) \equiv 1$ if $t \in [-1, 1]$ and $supp(\eta_j) \subset (-2, 2)$.

Let T_{μ_γ} be the right convolution operator by μ_γ, defined by

(2)

$$T_{\mu_\gamma} f\left(x,t\right) = \left(f * \mu_\gamma\right)\left(x,t\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f\left(\left(x,t\right) \cdot \left(w, \varphi\left(w\right)\right)^{-1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j\left(|w_j|^2\right) |w_j|^{-\frac{\gamma}{n}} dw.$$

2.010 Math. Subject Classification: 423A80, 42A38.

Key words and phrases: Singular measures, group Fourier transform, Heisenberg group, convolution operators.

Partially supported by Conicet and Secyt-UNC.

We are interested in studying the type set

$$E_{\mu_\gamma} = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in [0,1] \times [0,1] : \left\|T_{\mu_\gamma}\right\|_{L^p - L^q} < \infty \right\}$$

where the L^p - spaces are taken with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. We say that that the measure μ_γ defined in (1) is *L^p-improving* if E_{μ_γ} does not reduce to the diagonal $1/p = 1/q$.

This problem is well known if in (2) we consider $\gamma = 0$ and replace the Heisenberg group convolution with the ordinary convolution in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. If the graph of φ has non-zero Gaussian curvature at each point, a theorem of Littman (see [4]) implies that E_ν is the closed triangle with vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,1)$, and $\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+2}\right)$ (see [5]). A very interesting survey of results concerning the type set for convolution operators with singular measures can be found in [6].
Returning to our setting $\mathbb{H}^n$, in [8] and [9] S. Secco obtains *L^p-improving* properties of measures supported on curves in $\mathbb{H}^1$, under certain assumptions. In [7] F. Ricci and E. Stein showed that the type set of the measure given by (1), for the case $\varphi(w) = 0$, $\gamma = 0$ and $n = 1$, is the triangle with vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,1)$, and $\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$. In [2], the authors adapt the work of Ricci and Stein for the case of manifolds quadratic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, there we also give some examples of surfaces with degenerate curvature at the origin.

We observe that if $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in E_{\mu_\gamma}$ then

$$p \leq q, \qquad \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{2n+1}{p} - 2n, \qquad \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{(2n+1)p}. \tag{3}$$

Indeed, the first inequality follows from Lemma 3 in [2], replacing the sets A_δ and $F_{\delta,x}$ in the proof of Lemma 4 in [2] by the sets

$$A'_\delta = \left\{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} : x \in \widetilde{D} \wedge |t - \varphi(x)| \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \right\}$$

and

$$F'_{\delta,x} = \left\{ y \in \widetilde{D} : \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \leq \frac{\delta}{4n(1 + \left\|\nabla\varphi\mid_{supp(\eta)}\right\|_\infty)} \right\}$$

where $\widetilde{D}$ is a closed disk in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ contained in the unit disk centered in the origin such that the origin not belongs to $\widetilde{D}$, we observe that the argument utilized in the proof of Lemma 4 in [2] works in this setting so we get the others two inequalities.

Since $0 < \gamma < 2n$ it is clear that $\|T_{\mu_\gamma} f\|_p \leq c\|f\|_p$ for all Borel function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, so $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}) \in E_{\mu_\gamma}$.

In Lemma 4, section 2 below, we obtain the following necessary condition for the pair $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ to be in E_{μ_γ}:

$$\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2n-\gamma}{2n+2}.$$

Let D be the point of intersection, in the $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ plane, between the lines $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{2n+1}{p} - 2n$, $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2n-\gamma}{2n+2}$ and let D' be its symmetric with respect to the non principal diagonal. So

$$D = \left(\frac{4n^2+2n+\gamma}{2n(2n+2)}, \frac{2n+(2n+1)\gamma}{2n(2n+2)}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{p_D}, \frac{1}{q_D}\right) \text{ y } D' = \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_D}, 1 - \frac{1}{p_D}\right).$$

Thus E_{μ_γ} is contained in the closed trapezoid with vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,1)$, D and D'.

Finally, let C_γ be the point of intersection of the lines $\frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2n-\gamma}{2n+2}$, thus $C_\gamma = \left(\frac{4n+2-\gamma}{2(2n+2)}, \frac{2+\gamma}{2(2n+2)}\right)$.

In section 3 we prove the following results:

Theorem 1. *If μ_γ is the fractional Borel measure defined in (1), supported on the graph of the function $\varphi(w)=\sum_{j=1}^n a_j\,|w_j|^2$, with $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $a_j\in\mathbb{R}$ and $w_j\in\mathbb{R}^2$, then the interior of the type set E_{μ_γ} coincide with the interior of the trapezoid with vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,1)$, D and D'. Moreover the semi-open segments $\left[(1,1);(p_D^{-1},q_D^{-1})\right)$ and $\left[(0,0);(1-q_D^{-1},1-p_D^{-1})\right)$ are contained in E_{μ_γ}.*

Theorem 2. *Let μ_γ be a fractional Borel measure as in Theorem 1. Then $C_\gamma\in E_{\mu_\gamma}$.*

Let $\widetilde{\mu}_\gamma$ be the Borel measure given by

$$\langle\widetilde{\mu}_\gamma,f\rangle=\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f\left(w,|w|^{2m}\right)\eta\left(|w|^2\right)|w|^\gamma dw, \tag{4}$$

where $m\in\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $\gamma=\frac{2(m-1)}{(n+1)m}$, and η is a function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0\leq\eta\leq 1$, $\eta(t)\equiv 1$ if $t\in[-1,1]$ and $supp(\eta)\subset(-2,2)$.
In a similar way we characterize the type set of the Borel measure $\widetilde{\mu}_\gamma$ supported on the graph of the function $\varphi(w)=|w|^{2m}$. In fact we prove

Theorem 3. *Let $\widetilde{\mu}_\gamma$ be the Borel measure defined in (4) with $\gamma=\frac{2(m-1)}{(n+1)m}$, where $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. Then the type set $E_{\widetilde{\mu}_\gamma}$ is the closed triangle with vertices*

$$A=(0,0)\,,\qquad B=(1,1)\,,\qquad C=\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2},\frac{1}{2n+2}\right).$$

This result improves to the one obtained in Theorem 2 in [2].

Throughout this work, c will denote a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. Auxiliary results

Lemma 4. *Let μ_γ be the fractional Borel measure defined by (1), where $\varphi(w)=\sum_{j=1}^n a_j|w_j|^2$ and $0<\gamma<2n$. If $\left(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{q}\right)\in E_{\mu_\gamma}$, then $\frac{1}{q}\geq\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2n-\gamma}{2n+2}$.*

Proof. For $0<\delta\leq 1$, we define $Q_\delta=D_\delta\times\left[-(4M+n)\delta^2,(4M+n)\delta^2\right]$, where $D_\delta=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{2n}:\|x\|\leq\delta\right\}$ and $M=\max\left\{|\varphi(y)|:y\in D_1\right\}$. We put

$$A_\delta=\left\{(x,t)\in D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}\times\mathbb{R}:|t-\varphi(x)|\leq 2M\delta^2\right\}.$$

Let $f_\delta=\chi_{Q_\delta}$. We will prove first that $|(f_\delta*\mu_\gamma)(x,t)|\geq c\delta^{2n-\gamma}$ for all $(x,t)\in A_\delta$, where c is a constant independent of δ.
If $(x,t)\in A_\delta$, we have that

$$(x,t)\cdot(y,\varphi(y))^{-1}\in Q_\delta \text{ for all } y\in D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}; \tag{5}$$

indeed, $(x,t)\cdot(y,\varphi(y))^{-1}=\left(x-y,t-\varphi(y)-\frac{1}{2}B(x,y)\right)$, from the homogeneity of φ and since $\frac{1}{2}\,|B(x,y)|\leq n\,\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\,\|x-y\|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$, (5) follows . So

$$|(f_\delta*\mu)(x,t)|=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f_\delta\left((x,t)\cdot(y,\varphi(y))^{-1}\right)\prod_{j=1}^n\eta_j(|y_j|^2)\,|y_j|^{-\frac{\gamma}{n}}\,dy$$

$$\geq\int_{D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}}|y|^{-\gamma}\prod_{j=1}^n\eta_j(|y_j|^2)dy=\int_{D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}}|y|^{-\gamma}\,dy=c\delta^{2n-\gamma}$$

for all $(x,t) \in A_\delta$ and all $0 < \delta < 1/2$. Thus

$$\|f_\delta * \mu_\gamma\|_q \geq \left(\int_{A_\delta} |f * \mu_\gamma|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c\delta^{2n-\gamma} |A_\delta|^{\frac{1}{q}} = c\delta^{2n-\gamma+\frac{1}{q}(2n+2)}.$$

On the other hand $\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right) \in E_\mu$ implies

$$\|f_\delta * \mu_\gamma\|_q \leq c \|f_\delta\|_p = c\delta^{\frac{1}{p}(2n+2)},$$

therefore $\delta^{2n-\gamma+\frac{1}{q}(2n+2)} \leq c\delta^{\frac{1}{p}(2n+2)}$ for all $0 < \delta < 1$ small enough, then

$$\frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2n-\gamma}{2n+2}.$$

□

The following two lemmas deal on certain identities that involve to the Laguerre polynomials. We recall the definition of these polynomials: the Laguerre polynomials $L_n^\alpha(x)$ are defined by the formula

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = e^x \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n}(e^{-x} x^{n+\alpha}), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

for arbitrary real number $\alpha > -1$.

Lemma 5. *If $Re(\beta) > -1$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma^\beta L_k^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dr^k} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{(1-r)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{1-r} + i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}} \right) \right]_{r=0},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be fixed. From the generating function identity (4.17.3) in [3] p. 77, we have

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \sigma^\beta L_j^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} r^j = \frac{1}{(1-r)^n} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{1-r}+i\xi\right)}, \qquad |r| < 1. \tag{6}$$

Since $\left| L_j^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\frac{1}{2}} \right| \leq \frac{(j+n-1)!}{j!(n-1)!}$ for all $\sigma > 0$ (see proposition 4.2 in [13]), the series in (6) is uniformly convergent on the interval $\left[\epsilon, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right]$. Integrating on this interval we obtain

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \left(\int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta L_j^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma \right) r^j = \frac{1}{(1-r)^n} \int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{1-r}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma,$$

so

$$\int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta L_k^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dr^k} \left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^n} \int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{1-r}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma \right) \right]_{r=0}. \tag{7}$$

Now let us computation $\left[\frac{d^k}{dr^k} \left(\int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{1-r}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma \right) \right]_{r=0}$. We start to compute first the derivatives of the function $u \to \int_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma u} d\sigma$, where $Re(u) > 0$. We define

$\alpha_\epsilon(\sigma) = \sigma u$, $\sigma \in \left[\epsilon, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right]$, so

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma u} d\sigma = u^{-(\beta+1)} \int_{\alpha_\epsilon} z^\beta e^{-z} dz$$

to apply the Cauchy's Theorem we have

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma u} d\sigma = u^{-(\beta+1)} \left[\int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} x^\beta e^{-x} dx + I_1(u,\epsilon) - I_2(u,\epsilon) \right] \tag{8}$$

where

$$I_1(u,\epsilon) = \int_{\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}u\right]} z^\beta e^{-z} dz$$

and

$$I_2(u,\epsilon) = \int_{[\epsilon, \epsilon u]} z^\beta e^{-z} dz$$

are line integrals on $\mathbb{C}$. Now we will prove that for each $u_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(u_0) > 0$ the following identity holds

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[\frac{d^k}{du^k} I_j(u,\epsilon) \right]_{u=u_0} = 0 \tag{9}$$

for $j = 1, 2$ and all $k \geq 0$. It is easy to check that

$$I_2(u,\epsilon) = \epsilon^{\beta+1} \int_{[1,u]} z^\beta e^{-\epsilon z} dz.$$

Since $Re(\beta) > -1$ we have that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I_2(u_0, \epsilon) = 0$. From the analyticity of the function $z \to z^\beta e^{-\epsilon z}$ on the region $\{z : Re(z) > 0\}$ it follows for $k \geq 1$

$$\left[\frac{d^k}{du^k} I_2(u,\epsilon) \right]_{u=u_0} = \epsilon^{\beta+1} \left[\frac{d^{k-1}}{du^{k-1}} u^\beta e^{-\epsilon u} \right]_{u=u_0},$$

then $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[\frac{d^k}{du^k} I_2(u,\epsilon) \right]_{u=u_0} = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Analogously and taking account the rapid decay of the function $z \to e^{-z}$ on the region $\{z : Re(z) > 0\}$ we obtain that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[\frac{d^k}{du^k} I_1(u,\epsilon) \right]_{u=u_0} = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$, so (9) follows. To derive in (8), from the Leibniz's formula and (9) it follows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[\frac{d^k}{du^k} \int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma u} d\sigma \right]_{u=u_0} = \Gamma(\beta+1) \left[\frac{d^k}{du^k} u^{-(\beta+1)} \right]_{u=u_0}. \tag{10}$$

Finally, from (10), to apply the chain rule to the function $r \to \int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta e^{-\sigma u(r)} d\sigma$ where $u(r) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{1-r} + i\xi$ and the Leibniz's formula give, to do $\epsilon \to 0$ in (7), that

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma^\beta L_k^{n-1}(\sigma) e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sigma^\beta L_k^{n-1}(\sigma) e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dr^k} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{(1-r)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{1-r} + i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}} \right) \right]_{r=0}.$$

□

Lemma 6. *If $Re(\beta) > -1$ and $w(\xi) = -\frac{\frac{1}{2}-i\xi}{\frac{1}{2}+i\xi}$ $(\xi \in \mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma^\beta L_k^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}} \sum_{j+l=k} \frac{\Gamma(n-1-\beta+j)\Gamma(\beta+1+l)}{\Gamma(n-1-\beta)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \frac{w(\xi)^l}{j!l!},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. We will start find the power series centered at $r = 0$ of the following function

$$Q(r) = \frac{1}{(1-r)^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{1-r} + i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}}, \qquad |r| < 1.$$

We observe that

$$Q(r) = \frac{1}{(1-r)^{n-\beta-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\xi + r\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\xi\right)\right)^{\beta+1}},$$

doing $w = -\frac{\frac{1}{2}-i\xi}{\frac{1}{2}+i\xi}$, we obtain

$$Q(r) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1} (1-r)^{n-1-\beta}(1-rw)^{\beta+1}}.$$

A simple computation gives

$$(1-r)^{-n+\beta+1} = 1 + \sum_{j\geq 1} (n-1-\beta)(n-1-\beta+1)...(n-1-\beta+j-1)\frac{r^j}{j!}$$

$$= \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(n-1-\beta+j)}{\Gamma(n-1-\beta)} \frac{r^j}{j!}. \tag{11}$$

Analogously we have

$$(1-rw)^{-\beta-1} = \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1+j)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \frac{(rw)^j}{j!}.$$

Thus

$$Q(r) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}} \left(\sum_{j+l\geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(n-1-\beta+j)\Gamma(\beta+1+l)}{\Gamma(n-1-\beta)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \frac{r^{j+l} w^l}{j!l!} \right).$$

Finally, from Lemma 5 it follows

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma^\beta L_k^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)} d\sigma = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}} \sum_{j+l=k} \frac{\Gamma(n-1-\beta+j)\Gamma(\beta+1+l)}{\Gamma(n-1-\beta)\Gamma(\beta+1)} \frac{w^l}{j!l!}.$$

□

Lemma 7. *If $Re(\beta) > -1$, then*

$$\sum_{j+l=k} \frac{\Gamma(n-1-Re(\beta)+j))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1+l)}{\Gamma(n-1-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)} \frac{1}{j!l!} = \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!k!},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. From (11) it obtains

$$\sum_{j+l=k} \frac{\Gamma(n-1-Re(\beta)+j))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1+l)}{\Gamma(n-1-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)} \frac{1}{j!l!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dr^k}(1-r)^{-n+Re(\beta)+1}(1-r)^{-Re(\beta)-1}\right]_{r=0} = \frac{1}{k!}\left[\frac{d^k}{dr^k}(1-r)^{-n}\right]_{r=0}.$$

Since

$$(1-r)^{-n} = \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(n+j)}{\Gamma(n)}\frac{r^j}{j!} = \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n-1)!j!} r^j,$$

we have

$$\sum_{j+l=k} \frac{\Gamma(n-1-Re(\beta)+j))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1+l)}{\Gamma(n-1-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)} \frac{1}{j!l!} = \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!k!}.$$

□

3. The main results

To prove Theorem 1 we will decompose the operator T_{μ_γ} of the following way: we consider a family $\{T_{\mu_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of operators such that $T_{\mu_\gamma} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} T_{\mu_k}$, $\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{1,1} \sim 2^{-k(2n-\gamma)}$ and $\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p,q} \sim 2^{k\gamma}\|T_{\mu_0}\|_{p,q}$ where T_{μ_0} is the operator defined by (2), taking there $\gamma = 0$ and $\varphi(w) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j |w_j|^2$. Then Theorem 1 will follow from Theorem 1 in [2], the Riesz-Thorin convexity Theorem and Lemma 4.

Proof of Theorem 1. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$A_k = \left\{y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^n : 2^{-k} < |y_j| \leq 2^{-k+1}, j = 1, 2, ..., n\right\}$$

Let μ_k be the fracional Borel measure given by

$$\mu_k(E) = \int_{A_k} \chi_E\left(y, \varphi(y)\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_j\left(|y_j|^2\right) |y_j|^{-\frac{\gamma}{n}} dy$$

and let T_{μ_k} be its corresponding convolution operator, i.e: $T_{\mu_k} f = f * \mu_k$. Now, it is clear that $\mu_\gamma = \sum_k \mu_k$ and $\left\|T_{\mu_\gamma}\right\|_{p,q} \leq \sum_k \|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p,q}$. For $f \geq 0$ we have that

$$\int f(y,s) d\mu_k(y,s) \leq 2^{k\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f\left(y, \varphi(y)\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_j\left(|y_j|^2\right) dy.$$

Thus $\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p,q} \leq c2^{k\gamma}\|T_{\mu_0}\|_{p,q}$, from Theorem 1 in [2] it follows that

$$\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{\frac{2n+2}{2n+1}, 2n+2} \leq c2^{k\gamma}.$$

It is easy to check that $\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{1,1} \leq \left|\mu_k(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})\right| \sim \int_{A_k} |y|^{-\gamma} dy = c2^{-k(2n-\gamma)}$.
For $0 < \theta < 1$, we define

$$\left(\frac{1}{p_\theta}, \frac{1}{q_\theta}\right) = \left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}, \frac{1}{2n+2}\right)(1-\theta) + (1,1)\theta,$$

by the Riesz convexity Theorem we have

$$\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p_\theta, q_\theta} \leq c2^{k\gamma(1-\theta) - k(2n-\gamma)\theta}$$

choosing θ such that $k\gamma(1-\theta) - k(2n-\gamma)\theta = 0$ result $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p_\theta, q_\theta} \leq c < \infty$. A simple computation gives $\theta = \frac{2n-\gamma}{2n}$, then $\left(\frac{1}{p_\theta}, \frac{1}{q_\theta}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{p_D}, \frac{1}{q_D}\right)$, so $\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p_D, q_D} \leq c$,

where c no depend on k. Interpolating once again, but now between the points $\left(\frac{1}{p_D}, \frac{1}{q_D}\right)$ and $(1,1)$ we obtain, for each $0<\tau<1$ fixed

$$\|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p_\tau,q_\tau} \leq c2^{-k(2n-\gamma)\tau},$$

since $\left\|T_{\mu_\gamma}\right\|_{p,q} \leq \sum_k \|T_{\mu_k}\|_{p,q}$ and $0<\gamma<2n$, it follows that

$$\left\|T_{\mu_\gamma}\right\|_{p_\tau,q_\tau} \leq c\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-k(2n-\gamma)\tau} < \infty,$$

by duality we also have

$$\left\|T_{\mu_\gamma}\right\|_{\frac{q_\tau}{q_\tau-1},\frac{p_\tau}{p_\tau-1}} \leq c_\tau < \infty.$$

Finally, the theorem follows from the Riesz convexity Theorem, the restrictions that appear in (3) and Lemma 4. □

To prove Theorem 2, we will consider an auxiliary operator T_N, with $N\in\mathbb{N}$ fixed, which will be embedded in an analytic family $T_{N,z}$ of operators on the strip $-\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma} \leq Re(z) \leq 1$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \|T_{N,z}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq A_z \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)} & Re(z)=1 \\ \|T_{N,z}(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq A_z \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} & Re(z)=-\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where A_z will depend admissibly on the variable z and it will not depend on N. We denote $T_N = T_{N,0}$. By Stein's theorem on complex interpolation, it will follow that the operator T_N will be bounded from $L^{p_\gamma}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{p'_\gamma}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, where $\left(\frac{1}{p_\gamma}, \frac{1}{p'_\gamma}\right) = C_\gamma$, uniformly in N. If we see that $T_N f(x,t) \to cT_{\mu_\gamma} f(x,t)$ a.e.(x,t) as $N\to\infty$, then Theorem 2 will follow from Fatou's Lemma.

To prove the second inequality in (12) we will see that such a family will admit the expression

$$T_{N,z}(f)(x,t) = (f * K_{N,z})(x,t),$$

where $K_{N,z} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, moreover it is a *polyradial* function (i.e. the values of $K_{N,z}$ depend on $|w_1|,...,|w_n|$ and t). Now our operator $T_{N,z}$ can be realized as a multiplication of operators via the group Fourier transform, i.e.

$$\widehat{T_{N,z}(f)}(\lambda) = \widehat{f}(\lambda)\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda)$$

where, for each $\lambda\neq 0$, $\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda)$ is an operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda)g(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} K_{N,z}(\varsigma,t)\pi_\lambda(\varsigma,t)g(\xi)d\varsigma dt.$$

It then follows from Plancherel's theorem for the group Fourier transform that

$$\|T_{N,z}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq A_z \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

if and only if

$$\left\|\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda)\right\|_{op} \leq A_z \tag{13}$$

uniformly over N and $\lambda\neq 0$. Since $K_{N,z}$ is a poliradial integrable function, then by a well known result of Geller (see Lemma 1.3, p. 213 in [1]), the operators $\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ are, for each $\lambda\neq 0$, diagonal with respect to a Hermite basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is

$$\widehat{K_{N,z}}(\lambda) = C_n\left(\delta_{\gamma,\alpha}\nu_{N,z}(\alpha,\lambda)\right)_{\gamma,\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n}$$

where $C_n = (2\pi)^n$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$, $\delta_{\gamma,\alpha} = 1$ if $\gamma = \alpha$ and $\delta_{\gamma,\alpha} = 0$ if $\gamma \neq \alpha$, and the diagonal entries $\nu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n, \lambda)$ can be expressed explicitly in terms of the Laguerre transform. We have in fact

$$\nu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n, \lambda) = \int_0^\infty ... \int_0^\infty K^\lambda_{N,z}(r_1, ..., r_n) \prod_{j=1}^n \left(r_j L^0_{\alpha_j}(\frac{1}{2} |\lambda| r_j^2) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda| r_j^2} \right) dr_1 ... dr_n$$

where $L^0_k(s)$ are the Laguerre polynomials, i.e. $L^0_k(s) = \sum_{i=0}^k \left(\frac{k!}{(k-i)!i!} \right) \frac{(-s)^i}{i!}$ and $K^\lambda_{N,z}(\varsigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{N,z}(\varsigma, t) e^{i\lambda t} dt$. Now (13) is equivalent to

$$\|T_{N,z} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq A_z \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

if and only if

$$|\nu_{N,z}(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n, \lambda)| \leq A_z \tag{14}$$

uniformly over N, α_j and $\lambda \neq 0$. If $Re(z) = -\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma}$, in the proof of Theorem 2 we find that (14) holds with A_z independent of N, $\lambda \neq 0$ and α_j, and then we obtain the boundedness on $L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$ that is stated in (12).

We consider the family $\{I_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{C}}$ of distributions on $\mathbb{R}$ that arises by analytic continuation of the family $\{I_z\}$ of functions, initially given when $Re(z) > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$I_z(s) = \frac{2^{-\frac{z}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)} |s|^{z-1}. \tag{15}$$

In particular, we have $\widehat{I_z} = I_{1-z}$, also $I_0 = c\delta$ where $\widehat{\cdot}$ denotes the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}$ and δ is the Dirac distribution at the origin on $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $H \in S(\mathbb{R})$ such that $supp(\widehat{H}) \subseteq (-1, 1)$ and $\int \widehat{H}(t)dt = 1$. Now we put $\phi_N(t) = H(\frac{t}{N})$ thus $\widehat{\phi_N}(\xi) = N\widehat{H}(N\xi)$ and $\widehat{\phi_N} \to \delta$ in the sense of the distribution, as $N \to \infty$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we also define $J_{N,z}$ as the distribution on $\mathbb{H}^n$ given by the tensor products

$$J_{N,z} = \delta \otimes ... \otimes \delta \otimes \left(I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N} \right) \tag{16}$$

where $*_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the usual convolution on $\mathbb{R}$ and I_z is the fractional integration kernel given by (15). We observe that

$$J_{N,0} = \delta \otimes ... \otimes \delta \otimes c\widehat{\phi_N} \to \delta \otimes ... \otimes \delta \otimes c\delta \tag{17}$$

in the sense of the distribution as $N \to \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\{T_{N,z}\}$ be the family operators on the strip $-\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma} \leq Re(z) \leq 1$, given by

$$T_{N,z} f = f * \mu_{\gamma,z} * J_{N,z},$$

where $J_{N,z}$ is given by (16) and $\mu_{\gamma,z}$ by

$$\mu_{\gamma,z}(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \chi_E(w, \varphi(w)) \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_j\left(|w_j|^2\right) |w_j|^{(z-1)\frac{\gamma}{n}} dw. \tag{18}$$

Now (17) implies that $T_{N,0} f(x,t) \to cT_{\mu_\gamma} f(x,t)$ a.e.(x,t) as $N \to \infty$.

For $Re(z) = 1$ we have

$$\mu_{\gamma,z} * J_{N,z}(x,t) = \left(I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N} \right)(t - \varphi(x)) \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_j\left(|x_j|^2\right) |x_j|^{iIm(z)\frac{\gamma}{n}},$$

so $\|\mu_{\gamma,z} * J_{N,z}\|_\infty \leq c\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right|^{-1}$. Then, for $Re(z) = 1$, we obtain

$$\|T_{N,z}f\|_\infty \leq \|f * \mu_{\gamma,z} * J_{N,z}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1 \|\mu_{\gamma,z} * J_{N,z}\|_\infty \leq c\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right|^{-1} \|f\|_1$$

where c is a positive constant independent of N and z.

We put $K_{N,z} = \mu_{\gamma,z} * J_{N,z}$, for $Re(z) = -\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma}$ we have that $K_{N,z} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Indeed

$$K_{N,z}(x,t) = \left(I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N}\right)(t - \varphi(x)) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j\left(|x_j|^2\right)|x_j|^{(z-1)\frac{\gamma}{n}},$$

since $0 < \gamma < 2n$ it follows that $2 + Re((z-1)\frac{\gamma}{n}) = 2 - \frac{2n+2}{2+\gamma}\frac{\gamma}{n} > 0$ and so $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j\left(|x_j|^2\right)|x_j|^{(z-1)\frac{\gamma}{n}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, in the proof of Lemma 5 in [2] it shows that $\left(I_z *_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi_N}\right) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. These two facts imply that $K_{N,z} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$. In addition $K_{z,N}$ is a polyradial function. Thus the operator $\widehat{K_{z,N}}(\lambda)$ is diagonal with respect to a Hermite base for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and its diagonal entries $\nu_{z,N}(\alpha,\lambda)$, with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, are given by

$$\nu_{N,z}(\alpha,\lambda) = \int_0^\infty ... \int_0^\infty K_{N,z}^{\lambda}(r_1, ..., r_n) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(r_j L_{\alpha_j}^0(|\lambda| r_j^2/2) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda| r_j^2}\right) dr_1 ... dr_n$$

$$= I_{1-z}(-\lambda)\phi_N(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_0^\infty \eta_j(r_j^2) L_{\alpha_j}^0\left(|\lambda| r_j^2/2\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda| r_j^2} e^{i\lambda a_j r_j^2} r_j^{1+(z-1)\frac{\gamma}{n}} dr_j. \tag{19}$$

Thus, it is enough to study the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \eta_1(r^2) L_{\alpha_1}^0\left(|\lambda| r^2/2\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda| r^2} e^{i\lambda a_1 r^2} r^{1+(z-1)\frac{\gamma}{n}} dr,$$

where $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We make the change of variable $\sigma = |\lambda| r^2/2$ in such an integral to obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \eta_1(r^2) L_{\alpha_1}^0\left(|\lambda| r^2/2\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\lambda| r^2} e^{i\lambda a_1 r^2} r^{1+(z-1)\frac{\gamma}{n}} dr$$

$$= 2^{-\frac{(n+1)\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}} |\lambda|^{-\left(1+\frac{(z-1)\gamma}{2n}\right)} \int_0^\infty \eta_1\left(\frac{2\sigma}{|\lambda|}\right) L_{\alpha_1}^0(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} e^{i2sgn(\lambda)a_1\sigma} \sigma^{\frac{(z-1)\gamma}{2n}} d\sigma$$

$$= 2^{-\frac{(n+1)\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}} |\lambda|^{-\left(1+\frac{(z-1)\gamma}{2n}\right)} (F_{\alpha_1,\beta} G_\lambda)\widehat{\ }(-2sgn(\lambda)a_1)$$

$$= 2^{-\frac{(n+1)\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}} |\lambda|^{-\left(1+\frac{(z-1)\gamma}{2n}\right)} (\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}} * \widehat{G_\lambda})(-2sgn(\lambda)a_1)$$

where

$$F_{\alpha_1,\beta}(\sigma) := \chi_{(0,\infty)}(\sigma) L_{\alpha_1}^0(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sigma^\beta,$$

with $\beta = \frac{(z-1)\gamma}{2n}$, and

$$G_\lambda(\sigma) := \eta_1\left(\frac{2\sigma}{|\lambda|}\right).$$

Now

$$\left|(\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}} * \widehat{G_\lambda})(-2sgn(\lambda)a_1)\right| \leq \left\|\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}} * \widehat{G_\lambda}\right\|_\infty \leq \left\|\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}}\right\|_\infty \left\|\widehat{G_\lambda}\right\|_1 = \left\|\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}}\right\|_\infty \|\widehat{\eta_1}\|_1. \tag{20}$$

So it is enough to estimate $\left\|\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}}\right\|_\infty$. Since

$$\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}}(\xi)=\int_0^\infty \sigma^\beta L^0_{\alpha_1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)}d\sigma,$$

from Lemma 6, with $n=1$, $k=\alpha_1$ and $\beta=\frac{(z-1)\gamma}{2n}$ we obtain

$$\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}}(\xi)=\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}}\sum_{j+l=\alpha_1}\frac{\Gamma(-\beta+j)\Gamma(\beta+1+l)}{\Gamma(-\beta)\Gamma(\beta+1)}\frac{w^l}{j!l!},$$

to take modulo in this expression and since $|w|=1$ it follows that

$$\left|\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}}(\xi)\right|\le\frac{\Gamma(-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)}{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}\right||\Gamma(-\beta)|}\sum_{j+l=\alpha_1}\frac{\Gamma(-Re(\beta)+j))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1+l)}{\Gamma(-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)}\frac{1}{j!l!}.$$

From Lemma 7, with $n=1$ and $k=\alpha_1$ we have

$$\sum_{j+l=\alpha_1}\frac{\Gamma(-Re(\beta)+j))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1+l)}{\Gamma(-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)}\frac{1}{j!l!}=1.$$

So

$$\left|\widehat{F_{\alpha_1,\beta}}(\xi)\right|\le\frac{\Gamma(-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)}{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}\right||\Gamma(-\beta)|}\le\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{(n+1)\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2n-\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}\right)}{(1/2)^{\frac{2n-\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}}e^{-\frac{Im(z)\pi\gamma}{4n}}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2n}\right)\right|}.$$

Finally, for $Re(z)=-\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma}$, we obtain

$$|\nu_{z,N}(k,\lambda)|\le c_{n,\gamma}|I_{1-z}(-\lambda)\phi_N(\lambda)||\lambda|^{-\left(1+\frac{(z-1)\gamma}{2n}\right)n}\prod_{j=1}^n\|\widehat{F_{\alpha_j,\beta}}\|_\infty\|\widehat{\eta_j}\|_1$$

$$\le\frac{c_{n,\gamma}\; e^{\frac{Im(z)\pi\gamma}{4}}\|H\|_\infty\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{(n+1)\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}\right)\right]^n\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2n-\gamma}{(2+\gamma)n}\right)\right]^n\prod_{j=1}^n\|\widehat{\eta_j}\|_1}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right)\right|\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2n}\right)\right|^n}.$$

By (14) it follows, for $Re(z)=-\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma}$, that

$$\|T_{N,z}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}\le c_{n,\gamma}\;\frac{e^{\frac{Im(z)\pi\gamma}{4}}}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right)\right|\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2n}\right)\right|^n}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

It is easy to see, with the aid of the Stirling formula (see [12], p. 326), that the family $\{T_{N,z}\}$ satisfies, on the strip $-\frac{2n-\gamma}{2+\gamma}\le Re(z)\le 1$, the hypothesis of the complex interpolation theorem (see [10] p. 205) and so $T_{N,0}$ is bounded from $L^{p_\gamma}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{p'_\gamma}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ uniformly on N, where $\left(\frac{1}{p_\gamma},\frac{1}{p'_\gamma}\right)=C_\gamma$, then letting N tend to infinity, we obtain that the operator T_{μ_γ} is bounded from $L^{p_\gamma}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{p'_\gamma}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. □

We re-establish Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in the following

Theorem 8. *Let μ_γ be a fractional Borel measure as in Theorem 1. Then the interior of E_{μ_γ} is the open trapezoidal region with vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,1)$, D and D'. Moreover C_γ and the closed segments joining D' with $(0,0)$ and D with $(1,1)$ except maybe D and D' are contained in E_{μ_γ}.*

Proof of Theorem 3. We consider, for each $N\in\mathbb{N}$ fixed, the analytic family $\{U_{N,z}\}$ of operators on the strip $-n\le Re(z)\le 1$, defined by $U_{N,z}f=f*\widetilde{\mu}_{(1-z)\gamma}*J_{N,z}$ where $\widetilde{\mu}_{(1-z)\gamma}$ i given by (4), $J_{N,z}$ by (16) and $U_{N,0}f(x,t)\to U_{\widetilde{\mu}_\gamma}f(x,t):=$

$(f * \widetilde{\mu}_\gamma)(x,t)$ a.e.(x,t) as $N \to \infty$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2 it follows, for $Re(z) = 1$, that $\|U_{N,z}\|_{1,\infty} \leq c\,|\Gamma(z/2)|^{-1}$. Also it is clear that, for $Re(z) = -n$, the kernel $\widetilde{\mu}_{(1-z)\gamma} * J_{N,z} \in L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ and it is a radial function. Now, our operator $\widehat{(\widetilde{\mu}_{(1-z)\gamma} * J_{N,z})}(\lambda)$ is diagonal, with diagonal entries $\nu_{N,z}(k,\lambda)$ given by

$$\nu_{z,N}(k,\lambda) = \frac{k!}{(k+n-1)!}\int_0^\infty (\widetilde{\mu}_{(1-z)\gamma} * J_{N,z})(s,\widehat{-\lambda}) L_k^{n-1}(|\lambda|s^2/2)e^{-|\lambda|s^2/4}s^{2n-1}ds$$

$$= \frac{k!}{(k+n-1)!} I_{1-z}(-\lambda)\phi_N(\lambda)\int_0^\infty \eta(s^2) L_k^{n-1}(|\lambda|s^2/2)e^{-|\lambda|s^2/4}e^{i\lambda s^{2m}}s^{2n-1+(1-z)\gamma}ds.$$

Now we study this integral, the change of variable $\sigma = |\lambda|s^2/2$ gives

$$\int_0^\infty \eta(s^2) L_k^{n-1}(|\lambda|s^2/2)e^{-|\lambda|s^2/4}e^{i\lambda s^{2m}}s^{2n-1+(1-z)\gamma}ds$$

$$= 2^{n-1+\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2}}|\lambda|^{-\left(n+\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2}\right)}\int_0^\infty \eta\left(\frac{2\sigma}{|\lambda|}\right) L_k^{n-1}(\sigma)e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}e^{i(2\sigma)^m|\lambda|^{1-m}sgn(\lambda)}\sigma^{n-1+\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2}}d\sigma.$$

$$= 2^{n-1+\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2}}|\lambda|^{-\left(n+\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2}\right)}\left(\widehat{F_{k,\beta}} * \left(\widehat{G_\lambda} * \widehat{R_\lambda}\right)\right)(0),$$

where

$$F_{k,\beta}(\sigma) := \chi_{(0,\infty)}(\sigma) L_k^{n-1}(\sigma)\, e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\sigma^\beta,$$

with $\beta = n - 1 + \frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2}$,

$$G_\lambda(\sigma) := \eta\left(2\sigma/|\lambda|\right)$$

and

$$R_\lambda(\sigma) = \chi_{(0,|\lambda|)}(\sigma)e^{i2^m sgn(\lambda)|\lambda|^{1-m}\sigma^m}.$$

Now

$$\left\|\widehat{F_{k,\beta}} * \left(\widehat{G_\lambda} * \widehat{R_\lambda}\right)\right\|_\infty \leq \left\|\widehat{F_{k,\beta}}\right\|_1 \left\|\widehat{G_\lambda}\right\|_1 \left\|\widehat{R_\lambda}\right\|_\infty \tag{21}$$

So it is enough to estimate the right side of this inequality. From Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\left|\widehat{F_{k,\beta}}(\xi)\right| \leq \frac{\Gamma(n-1-Re(\beta))\Gamma(Re(\beta)+1)}{\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\xi\right)^{\beta+1}\right|\,|\Gamma(n-1-\beta)|}\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!k!}.$$

Since $Re(z) = -n$, $\gamma = \frac{2(m-1)}{(n+1)m}$ and $\beta = n-1+\frac{(1-z)\gamma}{2}$ we have $Re(\beta) = n - \frac{1}{m}$, thus it follows that

$$\left\|\widehat{F_{k,\beta_z}}\right\|_1 \leq \frac{c\,e^{\frac{|Im(z)|\gamma\pi}{4}}}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\gamma\right)\right|}\frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!k!}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{4}+\xi^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(n+1-\frac{1}{m}\right)}}d\xi, \tag{22}$$

the last integral is finite for all $n \geq 1$ and all $m \geq 2$. It is clear that $\left\|\widehat{G_\lambda}\right\|_1 = \|\widehat{\eta}\|_1$. Now, we estimate $\left\|\widehat{R_\lambda}\right\|_\infty$. Taking account of Proposition 2, p. 332, in [11] we note that

$$\left|\widehat{R_\lambda}(\xi)\right| = \left|\int_0^{|\lambda|} e^{i(2^m sgn(\lambda)|\lambda|^{1-m}\sigma^m - \xi\sigma)}d\sigma\right| \leq \frac{C_m}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1-m}{m}}} \tag{23}$$

where the constant C_m does not depend on λ. Then, for $Re(z) = -n$, from (21), (22) and (23) we obtain

$$|\nu_{N,z}(k,\lambda)| \leq c\,\frac{k!}{(k+n-1)!}\,|I_{1-z}(-\lambda)\phi_N(\lambda)||\lambda|^{-\left(n+\frac{(1+n)\gamma}{2}\right)}\left\|\widehat{F_{k,\beta}} * \left(\widehat{G_\lambda} * \widehat{R_\lambda}\right)\right\|_\infty$$

$$\leq c_{n,m}\|H\|_\infty\,\|\widehat{\eta}\|_1\,\frac{e^{\frac{|Im(z)|\gamma\pi}{4}}}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right)\right|\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\gamma\right)\right|}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{4}+\xi^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(n+1-\frac{1}{m}\right)}}d\xi.$$

By (14) it follows, for $Re(z) = -n$, that

$$\|U_{N,z}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})^n} \leq c_{n,m}\,\frac{e^{\frac{|Im(z)|\gamma\pi}{4}}}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right)\right|\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\gamma\right)\right|}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H})^n}.$$

It is clear that the family $\{U_{N,z}\}$ satisfies, on the strip $-n \leq Re(z) \leq 1$, the hypothesis of the complex interpolation theorem. Thus $U_{N,0}$ is bounded from $L^{\frac{2n+2}{2n+1}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{2n+2}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ uniformly in N, and letting N tend to infinity we conclude that the operator $U_{\widetilde{\mu}_\gamma}$ is bounded from $L^{\frac{2n+2}{2n+1}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ into $L^{2n+2}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, the theorem follows from the restrictions that appear in (3). □

References

[1] D. Geller, *Fourier Analysis on The Heisenberg Group. I. Schwartz space.* Journal of Functional Analysis 36, 205-254, (1980).

[2] T. Godoy and P. Rocha, *$L^p - L^q$ estimates for some convolution operators with singular measures on the Heisenberg group.* Colloq. Math., 132 (1), 101-111, (2013).

[3] N. N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications.* Prentice-Hall, INC. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., (1965).

[4] W. Littman, *$L^p - L^q$ estimates for singular integral operators arising from hyperbolic equations.* Proc. Symp. Pure Appl. Math., AMS 23, 479-481, (1973)

[5] D. Oberlin, *Convolution estimates for some measures on curves.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 99, (1), 56-60, (1987).

[6] F. Ricci, *Limitatezza $L^p - L^q$ per operatori di convoluzione definiti da misure singolari in $\mathbb{R}^n$.* Bollettino U.M.I. (7) 11-A, 237-252, (1997).

[7] F. Ricci - E. Stein. *Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals. III, Fractional integration along manifolds.* Journal of Functional Analysis 86, 360-389, (1989).

[8] S. Secco, *L^p-improving properties of measures supported on curves on the Heisenberg group.* Studia Mathematica 132 (2), 179-201, (1999).

[9] S. Secco, Secco, *L^p-improving properties of measures supported on curves on the Heisenberg group II.* Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, Vol. 5-B, n.2, 527-543, (2002).

[10] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces.* Princeton University Press, (1971).

[11] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis. Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals.* Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey, (1993)

[12] E.M Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Princeton Lectures in Analysis - Complex Analysis.* Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey, (2003).

[13] S. Thangavelu, *Spherical Means on the Heisenberg Group and a Restriction Theorem for the Symplectic Fourier Transform.* Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Vol. 7, (2), 135-155, (1991).

Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, FaMAF-UNC, Córdoba, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina

E-mail address: godoy@famaf.unc.edu.ar, rp@famaf.unc.edu.ar